



Managing Flowline Buckling and Walking with Real time Position Monitoring Subsea Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Technology

Stephen Fasham Global Business Manager – Sonardyne International



Society for

Underwater Technology



Why Should we Care About it?





THE THE REPORT OF THE



Why Should we Care About it?





The second se



- The Article Provention of the

Why Should we Care About it?



What is Pipeline/Flowline Buckling?

Why Should we Care About it?



Over the last 20 years temperature and pressure of pipeline product has increased dramatically • Pressures > 15 MPa (HP) • Temperatures > 120°C (HT)

Pipelines also insulated to mitigate wax and hydrate formation

HTHP causes expansion which, if restrained, induces compressive axial forces

Axial Walking



Sound in Depth

How is it Different to Buckling

- For shorter pipeline we may not reach fully restrained condition due to friction
 - Unlikely to buckle so everyone happy and no problems...

Axial Walking

How is it Different to Buckling

- For shorter pipeline we may not reach fully restrained condition due to friction
 - Unlikely to buckle so everyone happy and no problems...
 - But still have expansion calculate expansion, put in loop and no problems...





Axial Walking

How is it Different to Buckling

- For shorter pipeline we may not reach fully restrained condition due to friction
 - Unlikely to buckle so everyone happy and no problems...
 - But still have expansion calculate expansion, put in loop and no problems...
- True for symmetrical conditions but the real world is rarely ideal





Sonardyne

Analytical and FEA Modelling



Analytical Methods

Initial assessment involves Hobb's analytical solution Atteris has developed tools to carry out analysis and the expertise to interpret them.

Atteris

Sonardyne

OUND IN DEP

Determines propensity of pipeline to lateral buckling If not susceptible then only axial walking assessment required

Atteris

Analytical and FEA Modelling



Analytical Methods

Initial assessment involves Hobb's analytical solution Atteris has developed tools to carry out analysis and the expertise to interpret them.

Determines propensity of pipeline to lateral buckling If not susceptible then only axial walking assessment required

Atteris Sonardyne

Analytical and FEA Modelling



FEA Modelling

Determine if uncontrolled buckle acceptable

If not determine spacing so rogue buckles are acceptable / planned buckles reliable

- Determine mitigation measures and initiation forces
- Ensure global acceptability
- Confirm walking compliance

Analytical Methods

Initial assessment involves Hobb's analytical solution Atteris has developed tools to carry out analysis and the expertise to interpret them.

Determines propensity of pipeline to lateral buckling If not susceptible then only axial walking assessment required





Atteris Sonardyne

Analytical and FEA Modelling



FEA Modelling Determine if uncontrolled buckle acceptable in FEA toolset can also be used to examine fatigue initiatio and local buckling Ensure global acceptability

Analytical Methods

Initial assessment involves Hobb's analytical solution Atteris has developed tools to carry out analysis and the expertise to interpret them.

Determines propensity of pipeline to lateral buckling If not susceptible then only axial walking assessment required



Atteris Sonardyne

Analytical and FEA Modelling



FEA Modelling Determine if uncontrolled buckle acceptable in FEA toolset can also be used to examine fatigue initiatio and local buckling Ensure global acceptability

Analytical Methods

Initial assessment involves Hobb's analytical solution Atteris has developed tools to carry out analysis and the expertise to interpret them.

Determines propensity of pipeline to lateral buckling If not susceptible then only axial walking assessment required



Mitigation Strategies





knowledge of failure modes

Why Should we use Near-Realtime Monitoring?

• There have still been pipeline failures despite



Why Should we use Near-Realtime Monitoring?

- There have still been pipeline failures despite knowledge of failure modes
- Field data can improve modelling techniques







Why Should we use Near-Realtime Monitoring?

- There have still been pipeline failures despite knowledge of failure modes
- Field data can improve modelling techniques
- Designs showing no susceptibility for buckling at Start of Life (SOL) may be susceptible before EOL
 particularly if life extension is considered







Why Should we use Near-Realtime Monitoring?

- There have still been pipeline failures despite knowledge of failure modes
- Field data can improve modelling techniques
- Designs showing no susceptibility for buckling at Start of Life (SOL) may be susceptible before EOL
 particularly if life extension is considered
- Knowledge of actual behaviour allows operation optimisation including possibility of reversing movement by changing operation parameters







Robust Acoustic Monitoring System

High Accuracy Acoustic Ranging + Sensor Inputs

- Each unit an Autonomous Monitoring Transponder (AMT)
 - Two way ranging
 - High accuracy depth sensor
 - High accuracy inclination
 - Sound velocity sensor
- Create fixed reference array
- Units on suspect movement points (FTA, Flowline close to buckle initiator
- Pre-programmed data logging (autonomous)
- Each unit generates around 3 pages (1500 Bytes) of data/day for typical settings
- Typical 5 unit array (for FTA) generates 7500 Bytes/day
- Topside collection when vessel available









Field Data Results





- Range and sensor data processed topside to produce movement information
- Plot shows a 25mm movement over 3 days – validated as change seen to two fixed transponders

Field Data Results





- Range and sensor data processed topside to produce movement information
- Plot shows a 25mm movement over 3 days – validated as change seen to two fixed transponders
- Compare to control distance (5mm divisions – some offset and noise but very stable

Field Data Results





- Plot shows a 25mm movement over 3 days – validated as change seen to two fixed transponders
- Compare to control distance (5mm divisions – some offset and noise but very stable

• Known variations – such as tide cycle variations can be resolved and addressed in processing



Field Data Results





- Range and sensor data processed topside to produce movement information
- Plot shows a 25mm movement over 3 days – validated as change seen to two fixed transponders
- Compare to control distance (5mm divisions – some offset and noise but very stable

 Known variations – such as tide cycle variations can be resolved and addressed in processing

PROBLEM – Surface Vessel Required for Data Retrieval – Irregular and expensive

Near-Realtime Solutions



Option 1 – Lower Cost Persistent Vehicles



Near-Realtime Option 2 > Subsea Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Technology (SMART)

FEATURES

Atteris

Sonardyne

- Flexible interfacing to A/D internal and external sensors,
- Secure low power data logging

 Data processing to provide summary updates/alerts/alarms via acoustic telemetry



FEATURES

Atteris

Sonardyne

OUND IN DEPT

- Flexible interfacing to A/D internal and external sensors,
- Secure low power data logging

 Data processing to provide summary updates/alerts/alarms via acoustic telemetry



Near-Realtime Option 2 > Subsea Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Technology (SMART)



Data processing all done subsea by SMART unit

Typically SMART placed on movement risk point

Fixed array simple Compatt (known baselines perform SV verification

3D Location (Latitude/Longitude/Depth) stored as 3 4byte packets

288 Bytes/day 25x Reduction from 7500 Bytes/day



Near-Realtime Option 2 > Subsea Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Technology (SMART)



Data processing all done subsea by SMART unit

Typically SMART placed on movement risk point

Fixed array simple Compatt (known baselines perform SV verification

3D Location (Latitude/Longitude/Depth) stored as 3 4byte packets

288 Bytes/day 25x Reduction from 7500 Bytes/day

On-board processing capability also enables movement data to be thresholded Movement < Defined Threshold = Device status Byte only to be transmitted Data relayed to Transceiver connected at nearest field communications position Reduced data packet means "multi-hop" relay to connection point >10km away is reasonable







Sonardyne

90m WD

•~7 km



Remote choke monitoring over 10km
No cable availability
Challenging acoustic conditions
Life of field deployment



•Same approach now available for more complex data sets – processed subsea

•Requires small data packets to manage fire and forget or error checked transmission





Conclusions



Conclusions

Sonardyne

Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA



Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA
- Need to account for all component contributions to buckling & walking
 - Some may have significant uncertainty



Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA
- Need to account for all component contributions to buckling & walking
 - Some may have significant uncertainty
- Addition of accurate position monitoring allows for validation of analysis



Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA
- Need to account for all component contributions to buckling & walking
 - Some may have significant uncertainty
- Addition of <u>accurate</u> position monitoring allows for validation of analysis and improved safety by identifying unplanned movement before catastrophic failure



Sound IN DEPT

Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA
- Need to account for all component contributions to buckling & walking
 - Some may have significant uncertainty
- Addition of <u>accurate</u> position monitoring allows for validation of analysis and improved safety by identifying unplanned movement before catastrophic failure
- Moving to SMART data processing allows for:
 - Lower cost system operation through connection to existing infrastructure ("Vessel Free")
 - Near-Realtime inputs to control systems to maximise operational efficiency
 - The possibility of tailoring operating parameters to "unwind" previous movement



Conclusions

- There is no substitute for good design!
- Tools (and accompanying experience) are available
 - Analytical Tools
 - FEA
- Need to account for all component contributions to buckling & walking
 - Some may have significant uncertainty
- Addition of <u>accurate</u> position monitoring allows for validation of analysis and improved safety by identifying unplanned movement before catastrophic failure
- Moving to SMART data processing allows for:
 - Lower cost system operation through connection to existing infrastructure ("Vessel Free")
 - Near-Realtime inputs to control systems to maximise operational efficiency
 - The possibility of tailoring operating parameters to "unwind" previous movement
- Consideration of monitoring systems during design (or for existing) will remove uncertainty and conservatism of theoretical behaviour modelling.
- We have an opportunity to implement a truly evidence based behaviour model for <u>reliable</u> predictive modelling.



Sound IN DEPT





Questions?

Stephen Fasham Global Business Manager – Sonardyne International stephen.fasham@sonardyne.com

Derek Scales Lead Engineer – Atteris Pty Ltd derek.scales@atteris.com.au



