# iXblue





# **Long term robust AUV control** using a gyro-compassing Inertial Navigation System

Geoff Lawes CTO Sea Operations—Australasia

geoff.lawes@ixblue.com



1. Inertial navigation

# **CONTENTS** 2. Robust control

3. AUV requirements



Initial state, rotation, acceleration & integration

- Navigating any vehicle in space without external aiding requires:
  - A starting position/orientation/velocity state
  - Dynamic pitch, roll and yaw rates
  - Vertical (heave), longitudinal (surge), lateral (sway) accelerations
  - Integration of these values to generate orientation, velocity and absolute position
- On Earth, there are two more observable phenomena in the frame:
  - Earth rotation
  - Gravity

An Inertial Measurement Unit has 3 x orthogonal rate gyroscopes and 3 x orthogonal accelerometers





Gyroscope errors

- Sensors have two error types
  - Systematic (biases) which can also vary slowly with time (bias drift)
  - Random errors (noise)
- Random error impacts instantaneous (local) accuracy
- Bias reduces system accuracy progressively over time but static bias can be compensated
- Bias drift generates a "residual bias" which causes sensor accuracy to degrade over time residual bias is uncompensated
- Without correction to a reference direction, observations will drift:
  - For pitch and roll, the best reference is the vertical direction (gravity vector)
  - For yaw, the best reference is north



#### Gyro-compassing

- IMUs are classed as "Gyro-compassing" **only** if they can seek north, without any other direction finding source
- North-seeking is a misnomer actually they find east!
- East is direction of resultant vector difference between consecutive gravity vector observations as Earth rotates
- North is derived from east, on a plane tangent to Earth's surface
- To provide heading (rather than just dynamic yaw) at better than 0.5° accuracy, the IMU must be capable of resolving rotations to an accuracy of 1/100<sup>th</sup> of Earth's rotation rate
- Needs rate sensitivity of better than 0.15°/hr
- Sensors that can't achieve this, will not be north seeking and will need aiding (normally from GNSS)



Image: Lefevre, H. (2014) The Fibre Optic Gyroscope



North sensing accuracy limits

- Gyrocompass heading accuracy is limited by the averaging necessary to deal with noise and bias drift
- For 0.5 degrees need 10<sup>-1</sup> °/h
- For 0.05 degrees need 10<sup>-2</sup> °/h
- For 0.01 degrees need 10<sup>-3</sup> °/h
- Current technological performance:
  - iXblue FOG ~ 1x10<sup>-6</sup> °/h (and not yet reached technology limit)
  - RLG ~ 5x10<sup>-5</sup> °/h (at technology limit)
  - HRG ~ 3x10<sup>-4</sup> °/h (approaching technology limit)
  - MEMS ~ 10<sup>1</sup> °/h (at technology limit cannot be an autonomous navigation gyrocompass)



Image: Lefevre, H. (2014) The Fibre Optic Gyroscope



Effect of bias on INS position error

 To achieve unaided position accuracy of 1NM/day the INS requires bias stability (and scale factor) better than better than 10<sup>-3</sup> °/hr!

Gyroscope composite bias	Longitude angular drift over 24 hours (arc minutes)	45 degrees latitude equivalent
0.01 deg/h	14.4	10 Nm in 1 day
0.001 deg/h	1.44	1 Nm in 1 day
0.0001 deg/h	0.14	1 Nm in 10 days
0.000015 deg/h	0.021	1 Nm in 15 days
0.000010 deg/h	0.014	1 Nm in 14 weeks



iXblue

Effect of bias on INS position error

 To achieve unaided position accuracy of 1NM/day the INS requires bias stability (and scale factor) better than better than 10<sup>-3</sup> °/hr!

Gyroscope composite bias	Longitude angular drift over 24 hours (arc minutes)	45 degrees latitude equivalent
0.01 deg/h	14.4	10 Nm in 1 day
0.001 deg/h	1.44	1 Nm in 1 day
0.0001 deg/h	0.14	1 Nm in 10 days
0.000015 deg/h	0.021	1 Nm in 15 days
0.000010 deg/h	0.014	1 Nm in 14 weeks



iXblue

Key points

- Low dynamic range Attitude and Heading Reference Systems (AHRS) are nongyrocompassing and rely on "dual-GNSS" compasses or magnetic compasses to provide a north reference
- A non-gyrocompassing AHRS will drift away from north as soon as the external reference is removed i.e. in a subsea environment
- A gyro-compassing INS will maintain heading awareness, with predictably distributed uncertainty.
- A gyro-compassing INS with very low bias instability will maintain better position and heading awareness with predictable uncertainty distribution

Why are these factors important for robustness of AUV control systems?



#### **Robust control**

Predictable outcomes from unpredictable inputs

- **Control theory** The design of a robust controller explicitly deals with uncertainty in inputs (i.e. positioning/orientation) to create predictable outputs (i.e. AUV control signals)
- Many control systems incorporate Kalman filters to ensure that the controller is fed a predictive stream of data irrespective of variable sensor rates, periodic losses
- Kalman filters offer an organic means to **generate uncertainty estimates** from prediction step covariances
- The output uncertainty estimates benefit from input uncertainties that are "predictable" and conform well to some expected apriori distribution
- Sensor inputs that "wander" and exhibit **stochastic behaviours are problematic** as they will invalidate the output uncertainty estimate of the Kalman filter (in addition to negatively impacting the navigation solution)



#### **Robust control**

In context – AUV control with known sensor uncertainty distribution



#### **Robust control**

In context – stochastic sensor error effects on control



## Conclusion

In other words

To achieve long-term robust control in an AUV it requires a **gyro-compassing INS** that provides:

- Pitch and roll referenced to an external fixed orientation (up) with predictably distributed measurement errors
- Non-drifting heading, not derived from external sensors, continually referenced to an internal computation of true north, with predictably distributed measurement errors
- Positioning output with predictable uncertainty distributions
- Lowest possible bias instability (preferably at or below 10<sup>-3</sup> °/hr)



